

DOCKET NO. 601714

TEXAS ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE	§	BEFORE THE TEXAS
COMMISSION, Petitioner	§	
	§	
VS.	§	
	§	
THE OFFICE SPORTS BAR & CLUB	§	
D/B/A THE OFFICE SPORTS BAR & CLUB,	§	ALCOHOLIC
Respondent	§	
	§	
PERMITS NO. N728616, NL, PE	§	
	§	
GRAYSON COUNTY, TEXAS	§	
(SOAH DOCKET NO. 458-11-6496)	§	BEVERAGE COMMISSION

ORDER

CAME ON FOR CONSIDERATION this 22nd day of June, 2012, the above-styled and numbered cause.


After proper notice was given, this case was heard by the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH), with Administrative Law Judge Brenda Coleman presiding. The hearing convened on July 8, 2011, and the SOAH record closed on that same date. The Administrative Law Judge made and filed a Proposal for Decision containing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law on September 6, 2011. The Proposal for Decision was properly served on all parties, who were given an opportunity to file exceptions and replies as part of the record herein. As of this date no exceptions have been filed.

After review and due consideration of the Proposal for Decision, I adopt the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law of the Administrative Law Judge that are contained in the Proposal for Decision, and incorporate those Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law into this Order, as if such were fully set out and separately stated herein. All motions, requests for entry of Proposed Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law, and any other requests for general or specific relief submitted by any party are denied, unless specifically adopted herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Letter of Credit No. 1675, posted by Respondent in lieu of a conduct surety bond pursuant to Alcoholic Beverage Code §11.11, be **FORFEITED** to the State of Texas.

This Order will become final and enforceable on the 17th day of July, 2012, unless a Motion for Rehearing is filed on or before the 16th day of July, 2012.


SIGNED this the 22nd day of June, 2012, at Austin, Texas.



Sherry K-Cook, Interim Administrator
Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the persons listed below were served with a copy of this Order in the manner indicated below on this the 22nd day of June, 2012.



Martin Wilson, Assistant General Counsel
Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission

Hon. Brenda Coleman
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
State Office of Administrative Hearings
6333 Forest Park Road, Suite 150A
Dallas, TX 75235
VIA FACSIMILE: 512-322-0471

The Office Sports Bar & Club
d/b/a The Office Sports Bar & Club
RESPONDENT
1901 S. Armstrong Ave.
Denison, TX 77020-7049
VIA REGULAR MAIL

Barrett Keith Brown
ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT
124 S. Crockett
Sherman, TX 75090
VIA REGULAR MAIL
AND VIA FACSIMILE: 903-892-4221

Juddith L. Kennison
ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER
TABC Legal Division

SOAH DOCKET NO. 458-11-6496

TEXAS ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
COMMISSION,	§	
Petitioner	§	
	§	
V.	§	OF
	§	
THE OFFICE SPORTS BAR & CLUB	§	
D/B/A THE OFFICE SPORTS BAR &	§	
CLUB,	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
Respondent	§	

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

The Staff of the Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission (Petitioner/Commission) brought this forfeiture action against The Office Sports Bar & Club d/b/a The Office Sports Bar & Club (Respondent). Petitioner seeks forfeiture of Respondent's \$5,000 conduct surety bond. Petitioner alleges that as of May 3, 2011, Respondent had had three violations of the Texas Alcoholic Beverage Code (Code) or Commission's rules (the Rules) since September 1, 1995. Respondent argues that the bond should not be forfeited. This proposal finds that the allegations against Respondent are true. The Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) recommends forfeiture of Respondent's conduct surety bond.

I. JURISDICTION, NOTICE, AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

No party challenged notice or jurisdiction. Therefore, those matters are addressed in the findings of fact and conclusions of law.

On July 8, 2011, a hearing convened before State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) ALJ Brenda Coleman. Judith Kennison, Staff Attorney, represented the Petitioner. Garrett Riddels, Attorney, represented Respondent. The hearing concluded and the record closed the same day.

SOAH DOCKET NO. 458-11-6496

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

PAGE 2

II. DISCUSSION

A. Applicable Law

Forfeiture of a conduct surety bond is governed by 16 TEX. ADMIN. CODE (TAC) § 33.24(j), which provides that the Commission may seek forfeiture when a license or permit has been canceled, or when there has been a final adjudication that the licensee or permittee has committed three violations of the Code since September 1, 1995. When posting a conduct surety bond, the permit or license holder must agree not to violate a Texas law or the Rules relating to alcoholic beverages. The holder must also agree that the amount of the bond shall be paid to the state if the permit is revoked or after final adjudication that determines the holder violated a provision of the Code.

B. Petitioner's Evidence

Petitioner's two exhibits were admitted at the hearing without objection. Exhibit No. 2 included a copy of the permit, violation history, the conduct surety bond, and correspondence. Petitioner issued Private Club Registration Permit N-728616, which includes the Beverage Cartage Permit and the Private Club Late Hours Permit, to Respondent on August 6, 2009, for the premises located at 1901 S. Armstrong Avenue, Denison, Grayson County, Texas.

Respondent posted Letter of Credit No. 1675 (for conduct surety purposes only). American Bank of Texas issued the Letter of Credit to Respondent in the amount of \$5,000, payable to the State of Texas.

On February 28, 2011, Respondent signed a Settlement Agreement and Waiver regarding three violations of the Code. Respondent agreed to waive its right to a hearing to contest Petitioner's claims that, on July 24, 2010, Respondent sold an alcoholic beverage to an intoxicated person, operated an open saloon by selling an alcoholic beverage to a non-member, and failed to report a breach of the peace, all in violation of the Code. Respondent also acknowledged that the signing of

SOAH DOCKET NO. 458-11-6496

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

PAGE 3

the waiver could result in the forfeiture of the bond. The Settlement Agreement and Waiver became final and enforceable by Commission Order, dated March 7, 2011, in Docket No. 599940, finding that Respondent violated the sections of the Code as stated and imposing the penalty reflected in the Order.

C. Respondent's Evidence

Respondent did not present any evidence at the hearing. Respondent acknowledged that the violations occurred but argued that the bond should remain in place because it had taken the necessary steps to remedy the situation.

III. ANALYSIS

As the holder of an alcoholic beverage permit, Respondent was required to provide a \$5,000 conduct surety bond, payable to Petitioner.¹ Respondent also agreed not to violate the Code or the Rules. Petitioner may seek forfeiture of the bond if Respondent is found to have committed three violations of the Code since September 1, 1995.²

Petitioner provided evidence that Respondent has committed three Code violations. Respondent entered into a Settlement Agreement and Waiver regarding the three violations of the Code, all of which occurred on July 24, 2010. The Commission issued a final order regarding the violations.

The evidence in the record is sufficient to establish that Respondent has been finally adjudicated of three violations of the Code since September 1, 1995. According to §33.24 (j) of the Rules, forfeiture of the conduct surety bond is the penalty for this violation. Therefore, the ALJ

¹ Code § 11.11.

² 16 TAC § 33.24(j).

SOAH DOCKET NO. 458-11-6496

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

PAGE 4

recommends that Respondent's conduct surety bond be forfeited.

IV. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission (Commission) issued Private Club Registration Permit N-728616, which includes the Beverage Cartage Permit and Private Club Late Hours Permit, to The Office Sports Bar & Club d/b/a The Office Sports Bar & Club (Respondent) on August 6, 2009.
2. American Bank of Texas issued the conduct surety bond to Respondent.
3. The bond is in the amount of \$5,000 and is payable to the State of Texas.
4. On February 28, 2011, Respondent signed a Settlement Agreement and Waiver regarding three violations of the Texas Alcoholic Beverage Code (the Code) which occurred on July 24, 2010.
5. The Settlement Agreement and Waiver included the statement, "This agreement may result in the forfeiture of any conduct surety bond I have on file."
6. The violations were adjudicated against Respondent by Commission Order, dated March 7, 2011, in Commission Docket No. 599940.
7. Respondent committed three violations of the Code or the Commission's Rules since September 1, 1995.
8. On April 1, 2011, the Commission's Staff (Petitioner) notified Respondent that it intended to seek forfeiture of Respondent's conduct surety bond based on the Commission's final adjudication of Respondent's violations of the Code.
9. Respondent requested a hearing to determine whether the bond should be forfeited.
10. On June 14, 2011, Petitioner issued its notice of hearing to Respondent.
11. The notice informed Respondent of the time, date, and location of the hearing; the legal authority and jurisdiction under which the hearing was to be held; the particular sections of the statutes and rules involved; and the matters asserted.
12. The hearing convened on July 8, 2011, before State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) Administrative Law Judge, Brenda Coleman. Judith Kennison, Staff Attorney, represented Petitioner. Garrett Riddels, Attorney, represented Respondent. The record closed the same day.

SOAH DOCKET NO. 458-11-6496

PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

PAGE 5

V. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Code ch. 5 and § 11.11.
2. SOAH has jurisdiction over all matters relating to conducting a hearing in this proceeding, including the preparation of a proposal for decision containing findings of fact and conclusions of law, pursuant to TEX. GOV'T CODE ANN. ch. 2003.
3. Notice of the hearing was provided as required by the Administrative Procedure Act, TEX. GOV'T. CODE ANN. §§ 2001.051 and 2001.052.
4. Based on the foregoing findings and conclusions, Respondent has committed three violations of the Code since September 1, 1995.
5. Based on the foregoing findings and conclusions, Respondent's conduct surety bond should be forfeited. Code § 11.11 and 16 TAC § 33.24 (j).

SIGNED September 6, 2011.

BRENDA COLEMAN

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS