

TEXAS ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE COMMISSION (458)

ADDENDUM F LOCAL OPTION ELECTIONS For the Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2014

Sixty-five (65) local option elections were held for fifty-three (53) jurisdictions in FY 2014. Fifty-eight (58) of the election issues passed. Seven (7) failed to win voter approval. Of the jurisdictions holding elections, twenty-two (22) became “wet” for the first time as a result of the FY 2014 elections, twenty-six (26) expanded alcoholic beverage sales or authorized additional sales, and five (5) retained their previous wet/dry status. Issues for which elections were held included “the legal sale of beer for off-premises consumption only (1 ea.), the legal sale of beer and wine” (2 ea.), “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only” (23 ea.), “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only” (6 ea.), “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages” (13 ea.), the legal sale of mixed beverages (1 ea.), and “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only” (19 ea.).

Elections Held November 5, 2013

An election was held for the City of Andrews, Andrews County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,312 FOR and 1,213 AGAINST. The City of Andrews, Andrews County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.”

An election was held for the City of Andrews, Andrews County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,375 FOR and 1,215 AGAINST. The City of Andrews, Andrews County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Nash, Bowie County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 232 FOR and 195 AGAINST. The City of Nash, Bowie County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.”

An election was held for the City of Nash, Bowie County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 244 FOR and 176 AGAINST. The City of Nash, Bowie County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Pittsburg, Camp County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 134 FOR and 78 AGAINST. The City of Pittsburg, Camp County, was “wet” in part for such sales before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Whiteface, Cochran County, on November 2, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 51 FOR and 42 AGAINST. The City of Whiteface, Cochran County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Blue Ridge, Collin County, on November 5, 2013, on issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 16 FOR and 6 AGAINST. The City of Blue Ridge, Collin County, was “wet” in large part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Lucas, Collin County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,018 FOR and 435 AGAINST. The City of Lucas, Collin County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Lewisville, Dallas and Denton Counties, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,725 FOR and 985 AGAINST. Before the election, sales of alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption in the City of Lewisville, Denton County, was limited to the sale of beer and wine. After the election, all categories of alcoholic beverages can be sold for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Hickory Creek, Denton County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 186 FOR and 50 AGAINST. The City of Hickory Creek, Denton County, was “dry” for mixed beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

A countywide election was held for Donley County on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 597 FOR and 501 AGAINST. Donley County was “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages before the election. After the election, Donley County is “wet” throughout all such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Ellis County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,129 FOR and 491 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Ellis County was “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Maypearl, Ellis County, on November 5, 2013 on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The Issue PASSED by a vote of 71 FOR and 26 AGAINST. The City of Maypearl, Ellis County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election, and after the election is “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

A countywide election was held for Fisher County on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 537 FOR and 430 AGAINST. Fisher County was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Winnsboro, Franklin and Woods Counties, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 256 FOR and 182 AGAINST. The City of Winnsboro, Franklin and Woods Counties, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Winnsboro, Franklin and Woods Counties, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 259 FOR and 172 AGAINST. The City of Winnsboro, Franklin and Woods Counties, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election and

after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 6, Gillespie County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 265 FOR and 59 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 6, Gillespie County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of both beer and wine.

An election was held for the City of Silsbee, Hardin County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 215 FOR and 127 AGAINST. The City of Silsbee, Hardin County, was “dry” for mixed beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverage in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Waskom, Harrison County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 73 FOR and 155 AGAINST. The City of Waskom, Harrison County was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and remains “dry” for such sales after the election.

An election was held for the City of Gun Barrel City, Henderson County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 279 FOR and 111 AGAINST. The City of Gun Barrel City, Henderson County, was “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Henderson County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 536 FOR and 444 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Henderson County, was wet in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and now after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Liberty, Liberty County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 381 FOR and 203 AGAINST. The City of Liberty, Liberty County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Dangerfield, Morris County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote 125 FOR and 87 AGAINST. The City of Dangerfield, Morris County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Nacogdoches, Nacogdoches County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,209 FOR and 184 AGAINST. The City of Nacogdoches, Nacogdoches County, was “wet” only for sales of alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” for all alcoholic beverages sales, including the sale of mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Vega, Oldham County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 175 FOR and 78 AGAINST. The City of Vega, Oldham County, was “dry” for all sales of alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Carthage, Panola County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 515 FOR and 463 AGAINST. The City of Carthage, Panola County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is “now” wet for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Carthage, Panola County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 533 FOR and 446 AGAINST. The City of Carthage, Panola County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Leakey, Real County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 34 FOR and 27 AGAINST. The City of Leaky, Real County, was “wet” for sales of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election but not for the off-premises sales of alcoholic beverages with an alcohol content greater than 17% by volume. After the election, the city is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Bremond, Robertson County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages. The issue PASSED by a vote of 80 FOR and 21 AGAINST. The City Bremond, Robertson County, was “wet” for the sale of beer for on-premises consumption and for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election. After the election, the city remained “wet” for such sales and also became “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverage for on-premises consumption, including the sale of mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of McLendon-Chisholm, Rockwall County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 89 FOR and 47 AGAINST. The City of McLendon-Chisholm was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, San Augustine County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 342 FOR and 426 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, San Augustine County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, San Augustine County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 337 FOR and 423 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, San Augustine County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Smith County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote 360 FOR and 163 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Smith County, was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Smith County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote 768 FOR and 514 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Smith County, was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Arlington, Tarrant County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 10,855

FOR and 4,670 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Arlington, Tarrant County, was “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and “wet” in part for the sale of beer and mixed beverages for on-premises consumption. After the election, the City of Arlington, Tarrant County, is now “wet” throughout for all alcoholic beverage sales including the sale of mixed beverages.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Walker County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,437 FOR and 422 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Walker County, was “wet” for all alcoholic beverage sales, except the sale of mixed beverages, before the election and after the election is now “wet” for all sales of alcoholic beverages, including sales of mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Raymondville, Willacy County, on November 5, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages. The issue PASSED by a vote of 433 FOR and 115 AGAINST. The City of Raymondville, Willacy County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

Local Options Held May 10, 2014

An election was held for the Village of Salado, Bell County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 441 FOR and 225 AGAINST. Before the election the Village of Salado, Bell County, was “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates. After the election, Salado is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Marble Falls, Burnet County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 364 FOR and 127 AGAINST. Before the election the City of Marble Falls, Burnet County, was mostly “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages. After the election, the City of Marble Falls is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of White Deer, Carson County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 210 FOR and 55 AGAINST. The City of White Deer, Carson County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for all alcoholic beverage sales, including the sale of mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Farmers Branch, Dallas County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 2,469 FOR and 977 AGAINST. The City of Farmers Branch, Dallas County, was “wet” in part for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and after the election remains “wet” in part for such sales but is also “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Bonham, Fannin County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 461 FOR and 219 AGAINST. The City of Bonham, Fannin County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Bonham, Fannin County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 477 FOR and 198 AGAINST. The City of Bonham, Fannin County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Pottsboro, Grayson County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 24 FOR and 30 AGAINST. Before the election the City of Pottsboro, Grayson County, was “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only and after the election remains “wet” for these sales but is still “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Kilgore, Gregg and Rusk Counties, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 494 FOR and 187 AGAINST. Before the election the City of Kilgore, Gregg and Rusk Counties, was “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption, and after the election, remains “wet” in part for such sales but is now “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Marshall, Harrison County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 2,296 FOR and 1,078 AGAINST. The City of Marshall, Harrison County, was “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption and after the election is still “wet” in part for such sales and is “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Sundown, Hockley County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 93 FOR and 72 AGAINST. The City of Sundown Hockley County was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Mertz, Irion County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 95 FOR and 32 AGAINST. The City of Mertz, Irion County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Palacios, Matagorda County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 376 FOR and 183 AGAINST. The City of Palacios, Matagorda County was “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Crawford, McLennan County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 93 FOR and 53 AGAINST. The City of Crawford, McLennan County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Nocona, Montague County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 178 FOR and 70 AGAINST. The City of Nocona, Montague County, was “wet” only for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” for all alcoholic beverage sales, including the sale of mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Appleby, Nacogdoches County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 32 FOR and 36 AGAINST. The City of Appleby, Nacogdoches County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales.

An election was held for the City of Aledo, Parker County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 147 FOR and 60 AGAINST. The City of Aledo, Parker County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Aledo, Parker County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 166 FOR and 44 AGAINST. The City of Aledo, Parker County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Hudson Oaks, Parker County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 125 FOR and 11 AGAINST. The City of Hudson Oaks, Parker County, before the election was mostly “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Gilmer, Upshur County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 411 FOR and 116 AGAINST. The City of Gilmer, Upshur County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Gilmer, Upshur County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 408 FOR and 115 AGAINST. The City of Gilmer, Upshur County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Canton, Van Zandt County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 369 FOR and 510 AGAINST. The City of Canton, Van Zandt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Canton, Van Zandt County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 427 FOR and 443 AGAINST. The City of Canton, Van Zandt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Grand Saline, Van Zandt County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 204 FOR and 172 AGAINST. The City of Grand Saline, Van Zandt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Grand Saline, Van Zandt County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 204 FOR and 167 AGAINST. The City of Grand Saline, Van Zandt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Van, Van Zandt County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 213 FOR and 192 AGAINST. The City of Van, Van Zandt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Van, Van Zandt County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 216 FOR and 190 AGAINST. The City of Van, Van Zandt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Quitman, Wood County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 216 FOR and 79 AGAINST. The City of Quitman, Wood County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Quitman, Wood County, on May 10, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 215 FOR and 81 AGAINST. The City of Quitman, Wood County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

.

.